



## **Concept Note Assessment Criteria**

**Scoring Criteria** 

S.N.	Area of Assessment	Guide for quantification	Quantific ation	Weight	Max. score	Technical Committee Score
Informa	ation about the Training Provider		•	•		
1	Does the applicant have experience in	A lot	3			
	the sector being proposed?	A little	1	3	9	
		None	0			
2	Will any partnership proposed enhance	A lot	3			
	the applicant's ability to deliver a	A little	2	1	3	
	successful training experience?	Not at all	0			
Informo	ation about the Training Programme			,		
3	Is the proposed approach, content and	Yes	3			
	duration of training compatible with the	Partially	2	3	9	
	aims of the Training Fund?	Minimally	1			
		No	0			
4	Will training lead to certification at level	≥Level 2 certification	3			
	2 or above?	<level 2="" certification<="" td=""><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>9</td><td></td></level>	2	3	9	
		No certification	0			
Informa	ation about the Trainees			•		
5	Will the recruitment process reach	Yes	3			
	enough members of the Fund's target	Partially	2	4	12	
	groups and include up to 35% of both	No	0			
	males and females?					
6	Will the proportion of learners with	≥5%	3			
	disabilities reach 5% or above?	<5%	2	3	9	
		there will be none	0			
7	Are minimum numbers proposed for	Yes	3		9	
	training viable to reach country targets	Almost	2	3		
		No	0			
Informo	ation about Resources Required	I.	ļ.			
8	Is the requested training budget	Yes	3			
_	estimate within SkYE parameters (total	Negotiable	2	4	12	
	budget and average cost per trainee)	Never	0	,		
9	Are there enough well-qualified trainers	Yes	3			
	to deliver training to the number of	Almost	2	3	9	
	trainees proposed?	No	0			
10	Are the proposed training facilities of a	Yes	3			
	good standard, well-equipped and	Almost	2	3	9	
	sufficient for the proposed number of	No	0			
	trainees?					
11	Are there measures proposed which will	Yes	2			
	ensure the quality of the training?	Partially	1	3	6	
		No	0	ļ		
Informa	ation about Recruitment, Retention and Emp	oloyment of Trainees				
12	Is a retention strategy proposed which is	Yes	3			
12		Partially	2	4	12	
12	likely to lead to high numbers of	Partially				
12	learners successfully completing the	No	0			
12	learners successfully completing the training?					
13	learners successfully completing the training?  What proportion of graduates are likely					
	learners successfully completing the training?	No	0			
	learners successfully completing the training?  What proportion of graduates are likely	No >75%	3	4	12	
	learners successfully completing the training?  What proportion of graduates are likely to get a significant job at the end of the	No >75% 35%-75%	3 2	4	12	
	learners successfully completing the training?  What proportion of graduates are likely to get a significant job at the end of the	>75% 35%-75% <35%	0 3 2 1	4	12	
13	learners successfully completing the training?  What proportion of graduates are likely to get a significant job at the end of the training?	No	0 3 2 1 0		12	
13	learners successfully completing the training?  What proportion of graduates are likely to get a significant job at the end of the training?  Are effective tracer studies considered	No  >75% 35%-75% <35% None  Yes with good understanding	0 3 2 1 0	4		
13	learners successfully completing the training?  What proportion of graduates are likely to get a significant job at the end of the training?  Are effective tracer studies considered	No  >75% 35%-75% <35% None  Yes with good understanding Yes	0 3 2 1 0			

Note: In order to be approved to submit a full project proposal, the applicant needs to achieve a minimum overall score of 93 (i.e. 75%).